

Assignatura: \_\_\_\_\_

Estudiant/a: \_\_\_\_\_

Data: \_\_\_\_\_

2- a) We prove it by induction on  $K$ .

$K=1$  is the case of ~~one vertex~~  $\emptyset$  where  $T$  is a single vertex so it trivially holds.

For  $K=2$ ,  $T$  must be an edge with its end vertices (it is the only tree on 2 vertices). So if  $\delta(G) \geq K-1 = 1$  it means that there is an edge in  $G$  and hence there is a copy of  $T$  in  $G$ .

Now, suppose the result holds for less than  $K$  vertices, and let  $T$  be a tree on  $K$  vertices and  $G$  a graph for which  $\delta(G) \geq K-1$ .

✓ Let  $u \in T$  be a leaf of  $T$  and consider the tree  $T \setminus \{u\}$ .  $T \setminus \{u\}$  has  $K-1$  vertices and  $\delta(G) \geq K-1 > (K-1)-1$ , so by induction hypothesis  $G$  contains  $T \setminus \{u\}$  as a subgraph.

Let  $\varphi: T \setminus \{u\} \rightarrow G$  be an embedding of  $T \setminus \{u\}$  in  $G$ . We want to extend such embedding to the whole  $T$ .

Now, let  $v \in T$  be the only neighbour of  $u$  in  $T$  (unique because  $u$  is a leaf). Then the degree of

$$\varphi(u) \in G \text{ satisfies } d(\varphi(u)) \geq \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{min degree of } G}}{\delta(G)} \geq K-1 \text{ and } |\text{Im } \varphi(T \setminus \{u, v\})| = K-2 \implies$$

$\implies \exists w \in V(G)$  st.  $w \sim v$ ,  $w \notin \text{Im } \varphi(T \setminus \{u\})$  so we can extend  $\varphi$  to  $u$  by defining

$\varphi(u) := w$ . And this implies that  $G$  contains the whole  $T$  as a subgraph.

✓ b) We have  $G$  a graph with  $|V(G)| = n$ ,  $n \geq K+1$  and  $|E(G)| = m$  such that  $m \geq (K-1) \cdot n - \binom{K}{2} + 1$ .

We fix  $K \geq 2$  and apply induction on  $n$ . (for  $K=1$   $\binom{K}{2}$  not well defined)

The base case corresponds to  $n=K+1$ . In this case the inequality for the number of edges is:

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geq (K-1) \cdot n - \binom{K}{2} + 1 = (K-1) \cdot (K+1) - \binom{K}{2} + 1 = K^2 - \frac{K \cdot (K-1)}{2} = \frac{K^2}{2} + \frac{K}{2} = \\ &= \frac{K \cdot (K+1)}{2} = \binom{K+1}{2} = \binom{n}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $m = \binom{n}{2}$  as the number of edges is always less or equal than  $\binom{n}{2}$ .

As  $m = \binom{n}{2} \implies G = K_n$  and  $\delta(G) = n-1 = K$ . So in particular  $G$  itself is a graph with minimum degree  $K \rightarrow \delta(G) \geq K$  holds.

Suppose the result holds until  $n-1$  vertices and let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices.

If  $\delta(G) \geq K$ , the result holds (the subgraph with minimum degree  $\geq K$  is itself).

If  $\delta(G) < K$ , there exists  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $d(v) \leq K-1$ . So consider the graph  $G \setminus v$ .

$G \setminus v$  has  $n-1$  vertices and  $\delta(G \setminus v)$  the number of edges subtrees

$$|E(G \setminus v)| \geq \underbrace{(K-1) \cdot n}_{\leftarrow} - \binom{K}{2} + 1 - (K-1) = (K-1) \cdot (n-1) - \binom{K}{2} + 1$$

due to the fact that  $|E(G \setminus v)| = |E(G)| - d(v) \geq |E(G)| - (K-1)$ .

We can apply the induction hypothesis to  $G \setminus v$  so  $G \setminus v$  contains a subgraph with minimum degree  $\geq K$ .

In particular (by monotonicity)  $G$  contains such subgraph, so the result holds.

Due to result ~~(a)~~ (b) a graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices,  $n \geq K+1$  and  $m \geq (K-1) \cdot n - \binom{K}{2} + 1$  contains a subgraph  $H$  with minimum degree  $\geq K$ ,  $\delta(H) \geq K$ . Due to a),  $H$  contains every tree on  $K+1$  vertices, and as  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G \Rightarrow$   $G$  contains every tree on  $K+1$  vertices

Assignatura: \_\_\_\_\_

Estudiant/a: \_\_\_\_\_

Data: \_\_\_\_\_

4- Let  $G$  be an  $r$ -regular graph with  $|V(G)| = n$ .

And let  $0 = \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $L(G)$  the Laplacian matrix of  $G$ .

Then it is satisfied the following inequality of means:

$$\sqrt[n-1]{\mu_2 \dots \mu_n} \leq \frac{\mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n}{n-1} \quad (\text{Arithmetic-geometric mean inequality})$$

Now, as  $G$  is  $r$ -regular  $\mu_1 = 0$  so:

$$\frac{\mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n}{n-1} = \frac{\mu_2 + \mu_3 + \dots + \mu_n}{n-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i}{n-1} = \frac{\text{Tr}(L)}{n-1} = \frac{n \cdot r}{n-1}$$

where  $L(G) = r \cdot I - A(G)$  because  $G$  is  $r$ -regular so  $\text{Tr}(L)$  is the sum of the elements in the diagonal,  $\text{Tr}(L) = n \cdot r$ .

Now:

$$\sqrt[n-1]{\mu_2 \dots \mu_n} \leq \frac{\mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n}{n-1} = \frac{n \cdot r}{n-1} \Leftrightarrow \mu_2 \dots \mu_n \leq \left( \frac{n \cdot r}{n-1} \right)^{n-1}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot (\mu_2 \dots \mu_n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left( \frac{n \cdot r}{n-1} \right)^{n-1}$$

And from the matrix tree theorem  $\tau(G) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (\mu_2 \dots \mu_n)$   $\Rightarrow$   $\tau(G) \leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left( \frac{n \cdot r}{n-1} \right)^{n-1}$  ✓

The equality holds if and only if  $\sqrt[n-1]{\mu_2 \dots \mu_n} = \frac{\mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n}{n-1}$  which is satisfied

if and only if  $\boxed{\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_n}$

This happens for instance when  $G = K_n$ . In this case  $\lambda_1 = n-1$  and  $\lambda_i = -1$  for  $i=2, \dots, n$ .

Hence as  $G$  is  $(n-1)$ -regular  $\mu_i = (n-1) - \lambda_i \Rightarrow \mu_i = n \quad \forall i=2, \dots, n$

✓ OK



Assignatura: \_\_\_\_\_

Estudiant/a: \_\_\_\_\_

Data: \_\_\_\_\_

$G$  - Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,p}$  a random graph on  $n$  vertices and let  $H$  be a graph on  $K$  vertices and  $l$  edges. For fixed  $n$ , let us call  $p$  the probability of having an edge.

Let  $K \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|K|=K$ . Then:

$$P_r[G[K]=H] = c \cdot p^l \cdot (1-p)^{\binom{K}{2}-l} \stackrel{\text{Define this probability as } \alpha_n}{=} \alpha_n$$

where  $c$  stands for the number of isomorphic copies of  $H$  that can be embedded in  $G[K]$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a partition of the vertices of  $G$  in  $K$ -subsets.  $\mathcal{S}$  contains  $\binom{n}{K}$  subsets and  $\binom{n}{K}$  of which contain  $K$  elements. Then:

$$P_r[H \notin G] = P_r[G[K] \neq H \quad \forall K \in \binom{[n]}{K}] \leq P_r[G[K] \neq H \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{S}] = (1-\alpha_n)^{\binom{n}{K}}$$

de to independence of events  $G[K] \neq H$

Let us now use the exponential and logarithm function:

$$P_r[H \notin G] \leq (1-\alpha_n)^{\binom{n}{K}} = \exp\left(\binom{n}{K} \cdot \log(1-\alpha_n)\right) \leq \exp\left\{-\binom{n}{K} \cdot \alpha_n\right\}$$

$\log(1-\alpha_n) \leq -\alpha_n$  by  $\alpha_n < 1$   
(and  $\alpha_n < 1$  because it is a probability)

We want to see that  $\exists \lambda > 0$  st.  $p = P_r(n) = n^{-\lambda}$  suggests that this probability tends to 0 as  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ :

$$P_r[H \notin G] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \iff \exp\left\{-\binom{n}{K} \cdot \alpha_n\right\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \iff \binom{n}{K} \cdot \alpha_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$$

Substituting  $\alpha_n$  and erasing the constant terms; the condition is fulfilled if and only if:

$$n \cdot p^l \cdot (1-p)^{\binom{K}{2}-l} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty \quad \text{and} \quad (1-p)^{\binom{K}{2}-l} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 \quad \text{imposing } p = P_r(n) = n^{-\lambda} \text{ with } \lambda > 0.$$

Hence, it is needed that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot p^l = \infty \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^l}{\frac{1}{n}} = \infty \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{-\lambda l}}{n^{-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\lambda l + 1} = \infty$$

$$\iff -\lambda l + 1 > 0 \iff 1 > \lambda l \iff \frac{1}{l} > \lambda. \quad \checkmark \quad \text{OK}$$

So for  $p_\lambda(n) = n^{-\lambda}$  with  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{e}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n, p_\lambda(n)}$  we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[H \subseteq G] = 0$  so almost all graphs have an induced copy of  $H$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{n, p_\lambda(n)}$ .